UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,904	08/11/2006	Wayne M. Moreau	FIS920030012US1	7740
32074 7590 08/15/2008 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DEPT. 18G PL DC 2000 402			EXAMINER	
			LEE, SIN J	
BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 52		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/597,904	MOREAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sin J. Lee	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.				
<ul> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/11/06, 7/16/07, 8/29/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/597,904 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 11-17 have been renumbered as claims 13-19.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori (US 2003/0186161 A1).

In Claim 4, Fujimori teaches a positive photoresist composition containing an acid generator, an acid-labile resin and a basic compound (C-3). As more preferred examples for such basic compound, Fujimori lists (see [0272]) thirteen examples, which include 4-dimethylaminopyridine (present room temperature solid base) and 1-naphthylamine (present low vapor pressure base). Fujimori furthermore teaches (see [0273]) that the basic compound (C-3) may be used individually or as a mixture of two or more thereof. Based on such teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a mixture of 4-dimethylaminopyridine and 1-naphthylamine as Fujimori's

basic compound (C-3) with a reasonable expectation of obtaining a positive photoresist composition in which edge roughness of pattern is improved and development defect is restrained. Also, as examples for the acid-labile group of his resin, Fujimori includes a tetrahydropyranyl group as well as a tetrahydrofuryl group (see [0143]-[0144] and see also Resin (15) in Table 1). Thus, Fujimori's teaching renders obvious present inventions of claims 1-7.

4. Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori (US 2003/0186161 A1) in view of Koguchi et al (4,814,244).

Fujimori applies his positive photoresist composition onto a substrate. The resulting photoresist layer is exposed to light (such as KrF excimer laser beam, ArF excimer laser beam, or electron beam) through a desired mask, followed by baking and development to obtain a resist pattern. (see [0306]-[0307]). Fujimori also uses a reflection preventing film between the substrate and the photoresist layer (see [0356]). Fujimori does not explicitly state an etching step after the development or a material layer comprising a chromium-containing composition.

A resist pattern (such as Fujimori's resist pattern) is widely used in the field of semiconductor device, for example, in producing a mask for manufacturing the semiconductor device, as evidenced by Koguchi (see col.1, lines 11-20). Such mask is manufactured by (i) depositing a metal layer such as a chromium layer on a surface of a glass substrate, (ii) coating a resist film on the metal layer, (iii) imagewise exposing the resist film by an electron beam, (iv) developing the resist film to form the resist pattern, and (v) selectively etching the metal layer by using the resist pattern as an etching

mask. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use Fujimori's resist pattern in the method of producing a mask for manufacturing the semiconductor device as illustrated by Koguchi because Fujimori also states that his positive photoresist composition is used in the production process of semiconductor devices. Thus, Fujimori in view of Koguchi render obvious present inventions of claims 8-17.

5. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori (US 2003/0186161 A1) in view of Momma et al (5,731,131).

As discussed above, Fujimori teaches present steps (A)-(D) in forming a resist pattern. Although Fujimori does not explicitly state present depositing step (E), a depositing step such as a chemical vapor deposition is one of the normally required semiconductor device manufacturing device processes, as evidenced by Momma, col.12, lines 22-25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to perform a depositing step such as a chemical vapor deposition after Fujimori's development step because Fujimori states that his photoresist composition is used in the production process of semiconductor devices and because a depositing step such as a chemical vapor deposition step is one of the normally required semiconductor device manufacturing device processes as evidenced by Momma. Thus, Fujimori in view of Momma render obvious present inventions of claims 18 and 19.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,904 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sin J. Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 August 4, 2008